

Implementation Application Instructions: Paul S. Sarbanes Transit in Parks Program

Prepared for the U.S. Forest Service

December 2009



John A. Volpe National Transportation Systems Center
Research and Innovative Technology Administration
U.S. Department of Transportation

Introduction

This step-by-step guide is designed to support Forest Service unit staff navigate through the Paul S. Sarbanes Transit in Parks (TRIP) Program application process for implementation projects. The form and guidance in this document is based on the fiscal year 2009 TRIP program application. Applicants should provide concise answers to each specific question and should not include unnecessary information.

Completing the TRIP program application can be a lengthy and detail-oriented process depending on the project, data collection needs, number of collaborating agencies and organizations, and transportation-related experience of the Forest Service unit staff members. Prior to completing this application, applicants should have completed all pre-work, such as data collection and coordinating with partnering agencies and organizations. Orchestrating and administering a necessary data collection process can take several weeks or months; Forest Service units are encouraged to assess data collection needs early in the process to identify data gaps in a timely manner.

Eligible Projects

TRIP Program funding for implementation (capital expenditure) projects are generally focused on purchasing, designing, or constructing alternative transportation facilities or equipment. As with any capital expenditure, a strong financial plan and strategy is necessary, particularly with regard to the operations and maintenance of the system proposed. The financial sustainability of the project is paramount. The Federal Transit Administration (FTA) classifies implementation projects into three general categories: general capital expenses, fixed guideway and bus projects, and “other.”

General capital expense projects include:

- Acquiring, constructing, inspecting, or supervising equipment or facilities
- Capital cost of service contracts
- Deployment/commercialization of alternative transportation vehicles

Fixed guideway and bus projects, which are the most common implementation applications received, include:

- Development of a new fixed guideway project
- Rehabilitation, modernization, or expansion of existing fixed-guideway systems
- Purchase, rehabilitation, replacement of buses and related equipment
- Construction of bus-related facilities

The “other” category is provided for indirect/uncommon transportation implementation projects and includes:

- Capital costs of coordinating with external transit
- Non-motorized transportation systems
- Water-borne access systems
- Any other alternative transportation project

Non-motorized projects including the planning and implementation of bike paths/trails are eligible for TRIP funds as long as they meet the following criteria:

- Reduce or mitigate the number of automobile trips by providing an alternative to travel by private vehicle
- Provide a high degree of connectivity within a transportation system
- Improve safety for motorized and non-motorized transportation system users

Operating assistance (e.g., driver salaries) is not eligible for TRIP Program funding and must be accounted for by the Forest Service unit independently.

Planning projects and implementation projects each have their own specific form; applicants are advised to complete and submit the correct form. The guidance provided in this document is oriented towards implementation projects; guidance for planning projects is also provided in a separate document. Use of the proper form ensures that the correct information is provided to the reviewers, as projects will be judged on criteria specific to each type of proposal.

Step-by-Step Application Guidance



U.S. Department of Transportation
Federal Transit Administration

Paul S. Sarbanes Transit in Parks Program (Transit in the Parks Program) Project Proposal for Fiscal Year 2009 Funds – Implementation Project

BASIC PROJECT INFORMATION			
Project Name (Please provide a 1-2 sentence description of the project):			
Proposed Funding Recipient:			
Public land unit(s) involved:	<u>Location of Project</u> City: County: State: Congressional District:		
Federal Land Management Agency managing the above unit(s): <input type="checkbox"/> Bureau of Land Management <input type="checkbox"/> Bureau of Reclamation <input type="checkbox"/> Fish and Wildlife Service <input type="checkbox"/> Forest Service <input type="checkbox"/> National Park Service <input type="checkbox"/> Other (e.g. Federal Trust) Describe:	Type of Implementation Project: (Planning projects, please use the alternate form) <input type="checkbox"/> Bus <input type="checkbox"/> Vehicle replacement <input type="checkbox"/> Tram/Trolley <input type="checkbox"/> Boat/Ferry/Dock <input type="checkbox"/> Rail <input type="checkbox"/> Non-motorized (e.g., bicycling/pedestrian trail) <input type="checkbox"/> Other (e.g., Intermodal facility, ITS) Describe:		
<input type="checkbox"/> Proposal is for a new alternative transportation system where none currently exists. <input type="checkbox"/> Proposal is for an expansion or enhancement of an existing alternative transportation system. <input type="checkbox"/> Proposal is for rehabilitation of or replacement of vehicles or facilities for an existing alternative transportation system.			
Transit in Parks Program Funding Requested during FY 2009	Total Project Capital Cost at Completion (All sources)		
\$	\$		
Were you awarded Transit in Parks Program funds for this project in the past? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No If answer "Yes," please provide amount awarded: \$			
Do you plan to request additional Transit in Parks Program funds in future years? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No (Note: If you wish to compete for future Transit in Parks Program fiscal year funding you must reapply). If answer "Yes," please specify Transit in Parks Program proposed funding levels for out years below:			
FY 2010 \$	FY 2011 \$	FY 2012 \$	
FY 2009 Funding Amounts from sources other than Transit in Parks Program funds? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No If answer "Yes," please specify funding levels per source below:			
State \$	Local \$	Federal (other than Transit in Parks Program)	Private sources \$

Project Name: A one or two sentence description provides evaluators with a brief overview of the application. The name should be concise yet descriptive enough to state project goals and intended outcome (e.g., reduce congestion). The project description should identify the intended transportation mode (e.g., shuttle bus) and accompanying necessary infrastructure upgrades.

Public Land Unit(s) Involved: Jointly submitting a project with associated agencies (local, tribal, or state government; metropolitan planning organizations; transit agencies; etc.) illustrates the Forest Service unit's ability to work with partners to address issues in a comprehensive manner. Many successful applications have collaborated with associated agencies, thereby increasing the institutional capacity of proposals and technical expertise guiding the planning or implementation process.

Transit in Parks Program Funding Requested during FY 2009: This value is the total amount requested from the TRIP Program for a given project, which depending on the amount of local match, may or may not be the total anticipated project cost.

Total Project Capital Cost at Completion (All sources): This value should estimate the total capital cost of the project, including any local match.

Additional Funding: If this TRIP Program application is a beginning or intermediate step in a larger alternative transportation planning objective, the Forest Service unit might choose to divide the larger objectives into smaller more manageable projects. A multi-year application process is recommended when project costs are expected to exceed reasonable values. Applicants should be cognizant that the TRIP Program annual funding is limited and is a competitive process.

		\$	
CONTACT PERSON			
Name:		Phone:	
Position:		E-mail:	
Address:			

OTHER PROJECT SPONSORS (in addition to funding recipient)

REQUIREMENTS
<input type="checkbox"/> If a State, Tribal, or local government entity is proposing the project, the applicant has contacted the manager of the federal land unit(s) and has the consent of the Federal land management agency or agencies affected. <input type="checkbox"/> The project is consistent with the metropolitan and statewide planning process. <input type="checkbox"/> The project is consistent with agency plans. <input type="checkbox"/> If this is an implementation project, all reasonable alternatives, including a non-construction option, were analyzed before proposing this project.

BASIC PROJECT DATA	
Number of Visitors (Annual):	Daily Number of Visitors (Peak season):
Average Number of Vehicles per Day at Peak Visitation:	
Current Road Level of Service at Peak Visitation	
(Please consult guidance where available on determining this variable. You may also use observational accounts or pictures to provide an assessment of this datum for FY 2009 proposals).	
What time of the year does your land unit experience Peak Visitation?	
<input type="checkbox"/> Spring <input type="checkbox"/> Summer <input type="checkbox"/> Fall <input type="checkbox"/> Winter	
Current Carrying Capacity of Existing Roads:	(vehicles/day)
Current parking shortages during peak visitation:	
Current Average Number of Persons who use the alternative transportation system (if one already exists) at Peak Visitation:	
(average number of visitors/daily at peak)	
Current Annual Number of Persons who use the alternative transportation system (if one already exists):	
(anticipated number of riders or users/annually)	
Estimated Annual Number of Persons who will use the alternative transportation system at project completion:	
(anticipated ridership/usage)	

Other Project Sponsors: This section should include any funding partners included in the interagency agreement as well as any partners that contributed to the pre-planning process (i.e., Federal Highways Division). Past successful projects often seek the approval of collaborating agencies.

Requirements: Every TRIP Program proposal must adhere to four requirements. The first requirement is only applicable to state, tribal, or local entities submitting TRIP Program proposals. All TRIP Program proposals, however, must adhere to the remaining three: proposals must be consistent with metropolitan and statewide planning processes; proposals must be consistent with the Forest Service unit's plans; and due diligence must be taken in assessing the need of the project and that reasonable alternatives were considered, including a do-nothing alternative.

Basic Project Data: The Forest Service unit may have some data required for this section, although it may need to collect additional data in order to provide a thorough preliminary assessment of project needs. Working together with local partners or hosting a Transportation Advisory Group (TAG) are common ways of arranging additional data collection needs and assessments. A comprehensive appraisal of the effects of the proposed alternative transportation project is necessary to complete this section of the form.

Is there an anticipated reduction in auto collisions with large animals with this project?
 Yes No
 If "Yes," please provide anticipated reduction: _____ collisions/year

BASIC PROJECT DATA (CONTINUED)

Is there an anticipated increase in porous surface with this project? Yes No
 If "Yes," please provide anticipated area of increase: _____ square feet

Is there an anticipated increase in wildlife habitat connectivity? Yes No
 If "Yes," how many acres would be connected by the project? _____ acres

Is there an anticipated increase in air clarity measures (e.g., visitors' visual experience) for the land unit as a result of this project? Yes No
 If "Yes," please explain:

Is there an anticipated reduction of visual impact of parking and roads on visitor experience?
 Yes No
 If "Yes," please explain:

Is there an anticipated reduction of visual or noise impacts of transportation facilities on visitor experience?
 Yes No
 If yes, please explain:

Executive Summary

Please provide an executive summary of your proposal that is no more than one page in length.

Executive Summary: The one page executive summary should summarize the project while providing a sound argument in justifying its need. As a stand-alone document, the executive summary has only a brief amount of space to convince its reader of the merits of the project.

The executive summary should contain a concise statement of the problem, the proposed solution, and the analysis undertaken to validate the TRIP Program grant application. The purpose of an executive summary is to summarize the key points of the application, illustrating the need for the proposal and outlining how, if funded, the project will affect future Forest Service unit operations.

As with any executive summary, it is important to keep the intended audience in mind. TRIP Program proposals will be read and judged by Forest Service peers, other public land agency staff, and the FTA, all of whom are generally familiar with alternative transportation applications. The summary, however, should orient readers unfamiliar with the particular unit by including brief backgrounds of the existing conditions and typical uses and visitors.

Project Description

What activities would be funded by the requested Transit in Parks Program financial assistance? Please provide a project description that is no more than one page in length. You may attach up to two pages of maps or other illustrations that do not count towards the page limit.

Project Description: The one-page project description is where the Forest Service unit describes what the requested financial assistance would fund (details of planning study, type, and quantity of vehicles, details on facility to be constructed, etc.). The application may include up to two pages of attachments (i.e. maps, illustrations, etc.) that do not count towards the page limit. Maps showing alternative transportation system routes and key destinations within and near the Forest Service unit are particularly useful. Maps and images should be included to help evaluators formulate a sense of place.

Successful TRIP Program applications compliment the project description text with a number of supporting images, maps, and diagrams. While these applications are concise, they manage to highlight the major goals and objectives of the project.

Transit in Parks Program Implementation Evaluation Criteria

(There are separate evaluation factors for planning projects. Use the planning project proposal template for planning projects.)

Criteria	Points	Weight
1. Demonstration of Need		
a. Visitor mobility & experience	(1-5)	25%
b. Environmental condition as result of existing transportation system	(1-5)	
2. Visitor Mobility & Experience Benefits of Project		
a. Reduced traffic congestion	(1-5)	25%
b. Enhanced visitor mobility, accessibility, and safety	(1-5)	
c. Visitor education, recreation, and health benefits	(1-5)	
3. Environmental Benefits of Project		
a. Protection of sensitive natural, cultural, and historical resources	(1-5)	25%
b. Reduced pollution (air, noise, visual)	(1-5)	
4. Operational Efficiency and Financial Sustainability		
a. Effectiveness in meeting management goals	(1-5)	25%
b. Feasibility of proposed budget	(1-5)	
c. Cost effectiveness	(1-5)	
d. Partnering, funding from other sources	(1-5)	

Your responses to these questions must total no more than eight pages.

Implementation Evaluation Factors:

1. Demonstration of Need

- a. **Visitor mobility and experience:** Describe the site's current and/or anticipated transportation problem or opportunity for improvement. Please cite documentation in agency plans and other reports to support your description. You should include information on issues such as traffic congestion, traffic delays, parking shortages, difficulty in accessing destinations, safety issues related to traffic, lack of access for persons with disabilities, lower incomes, or without cars, and visitor frustration.

- b. **Environmental condition as a result of the existing transportation system:** Describe the site's current or anticipated problem or opportunity for improvement of the environment in this area. Please cite documentation in agency plans and other reports to support your description. You should include information on current or anticipated problems such as air pollution, noise pollution, run-off, water quality, harm to vegetation and wildlife, and other impacts or stressors on natural, cultural and/or historic resources caused by the existing transportation system.

Evaluation Criteria: The main section of the proposal form, the project justification section, requires applicants to justify projects based on evaluation criteria. The evaluation criteria, provided on the application form, differ between implementation and planning applications.

For implementation projects, applicants are first asked to demonstrate the need for the project, then to explain the benefits it will bring, and finally to show realistic financial planning.

1. Demonstration of Need

a. Visitor mobility and experience: Some Forest Service units are plagued by traffic congestion both internally and externally. Others may have manageable levels of congestion but are experiencing growing visitation and are looking to address future problems before they reach a crisis stage.

For example, because many people may want to visit an area, but visitors may not have a convenient alternative to the private automobile, roads and parking lots may end up at or above capacity during popular visitation times. Visitors experience is degraded by the hassles and frustrations of traffic delays, the inability to find parking, and subsequent unanticipated changes to their schedules. Additionally, individuals with disabilities and persons who do not operate cars may have trouble accessing Forest Service units when there is no convenient alternative to the private automobile.

Projects will be evaluated in part on the severity of the current or anticipated visitor mobility and visitor experience problems at the Forest Service unit. TRIP Program proposals should cite documentation, if it is available, such as reports, plans, or studies that corroborate the project need. Projects for sites with more severe current or anticipated problems have a high need for TRIP Program assistance and will score high on this criterion.

For proposals for projects to expand or rehabilitate an existing alternative transportation system, the applicant should explain the current visitor mobility and experience problem that the project seeks to address and the visitor mobility and experience problem that would result if the alternative transportation system as a whole did not exist.

Successful TRIP Program applications are descriptive and are justified by quantitative data. Most successful applicants are creative in their justification of need and include references to an inability to meet demand, congressional legislation, or safety.

b. Environmental condition as a result of the existing transportation system: Many Forest Service units have current or anticipated problems with pollution and negative impacts on natural, cultural, and historic resources due to high numbers of vehicles. Vehicle emissions can cause air pollution and degrade air clarity. High numbers of vehicles can create noise pollution and can detract from the scenery. Parking lot capacities often do not meet parking needs, resulting in visitors sometimes parking on or off roadways or in other inappropriate locations, damaging vegetation and other resources. Vehicle-animal collisions and run-off from impervious surfaces are other environmental problems that can result when visitors do not have a convenient alternative to the private automobile.

Proposals for units that demonstrate substantial current or anticipated environmental problems will receive more points on this criterion. The proposal should indicate if the project is to address a current problem, preserve the status quo, or avoid or reduce future problems. Proposals for projects to expand or rehabilitate an existing alternative transportation system should explain the current environmental problem that the project seeks to address, as well as the environmental problem that would result if the existing alternative transportation system as a whole did not exist.

2. Visitor Mobility and Experience Benefits

- a. **Reduced traffic congestion:** Describe *how* this project will mitigate the impact of traffic congestion or enhance current visitor travel conditions. In order to respond to this question, please include (where applicable) a description of how this project will:
- Reduce the average number of daily motorized vehicle trips during peak visitation with project implementation. (This is estimated based on anticipated alternative transportation system usage at completion and the typical number of passengers per vehicle); *and*
 - Decrease or mitigate time lost to traffic delays.
- b. **Enhanced visitor mobility, accessibility, and safety:** Describe *how* the implementation of this project will improve or maintain visitor mobility, access and safety. In order to respond to this question, please include (where applicable) a description of:
- Benefits that the project would have in easing visitor travel to destinations and decreasing visitor inconvenience;
 - Improved access for persons with disabilities;
 - Improved access for individuals with lower incomes or without cars;
 - Anticipated impacts on vehicle accident rates or property loss;
 - Anticipated impacts on visitor safety in cases of catastrophic events, such as forest fires; *and*
 - The number of visitors per year that will benefit.
- c. **Visitor education, recreation and health benefits:** Describe *how* the project will enhance or maintain visitor experience related to educational benefits, recreational benefits, public health benefits, and social benefits. How many visitors per year will experience these benefits?

2. Visitor Mobility & Visitor Experience

a. Reduced Traffic Congestion: Many Forest Service units can accommodate additional visitors but not more vehicular traffic. By providing an alternative to the private automobile, the same or greater number of visitors can travel to destinations within the Forest Service unit with fewer vehicles and with fewer parking spaces.

Projects that receive high ratings on this criterion will be those that would significantly reduce traffic congestion to and/or within the Forest Service unit. Estimates of the number of vehicle trips the project would mitigate, estimates of decreases in time lost to traffic delays, and/or estimates of decreases in parking demand should be provided.

Successful TRIP Program applications focus on the quantity and variety of uses supported. They also provide reasonable negative alternative scenarios if the project is not selected. Proposals should take into consideration not only past and local conditions, but expected future conditions as well.

b. Enhanced Visitor Mobility, Accessibility, and Safety: Alternative transportation can ensure access to people with disabilities by providing alternatives to the private car, such as buses, rail cars, and paths that accommodate wheelchairs. Alternative transportation can also provide access to Forest Service units for people who do not have access to a car because they cannot afford a car, cannot drive because of age or disability, or choose not to own a car.

Project proposals that receive high ratings on this criterion will be ones that ease travel in and around the Forest Service unit, improve safety, and provide access to all, including persons with disabilities and persons without cars. The applicant should include the estimated number of visitors that would benefit each year.

c. Visitor Education, Recreation, and Health Benefits: Alternative transportation can offer improved interpretation, education, and visitor information services as well as recreation, health, and social benefits. These elements contribute to the visitor's experience, or enjoyment, of the Forest Service unit.

An example of a visitor education benefit would include a live or pre-recorded description of the Forest Service unit's natural geological features on a bus. Another example is the increased recreation and health benefits of people who previously were unable to access the Forest Service unit but can now exercise and recreate there. A proposal will benefit by demonstrating these benefits will be realized by a significant number of visitors.

3. Environmental Benefits

- a. **Protection of natural, cultural, and historic resources:** Describe *how* this project will improve or maintain the protection of natural, cultural, historic, and/or scenic resources. Please provide as much information as possible about *anticipated outcomes of the project*, such as:
- Ensuring that visitation does not exceed an area's ability to handle increased levels of visitation or the "carrying capacity" of the land unit;
 - Maintaining ecosystem function, ecosystem restoration, disturbed land restoration, or re-vegetation efforts;
 - Improving habitat connectivity;
 - Preserving an archeological resources, historical resources, viewshed or watershed; *and*
 - Reducing auto-large animal collision rates or other protection benefits where applicable.
- b. **Reduced pollution:** Describe *how* this project would reduce and/or prevent pollution – including air pollution, water pollution, noise pollution, and visual pollution. In order to respond to this question, please include (where applicable):
- Estimated reduction in *average vehicle miles traveled at peak visitation* (a measure that is an estimate of a reduction in pollutant emissions as a result of the proposed project); and
 - Estimated number of riders *switching from auto to transit or to non-motorized transportation (including bike, pedestrian, and/or waterborne craft)* as a result of the project (a measure of estimated reduction in fuel consumption for site patrons and improved energy efficiency aspects of transportation, including non-motorized transportation).

4. Operational Efficiency and Financial Sustainability

- a. **Operational Efficiency:** Describe how the proposed project is the most effective solution for meeting identified management goals and objectives for this site. Please cite documentation in agency plans and other reports to support your description.

3. Environmental Benefits

a. Protection of Sensitive Natural, Cultural, and Historic Resources: One benefit of alternative transportation systems is their ability to help reduce impacts on vegetation and wildlife, reduce auto-animal collision rates, and improve habitat connectivity. The FTA encourages applicants to describe the benefits the proposed project would produce in this area. Applicants should ensure that visitation does not exceed the Forest Service unit's carrying capacity, the number of people that an area can support without significantly degrading the quality of the natural environment.

Successful TRIP Program applicants emphasize both the benefits that would be realized should the project gain financing as well as the detriment that would be caused to the environment should the project fail to receive funding. These applications also suggest potential performance metrics or measurable improvements the plan should study.

b. Reduced Pollution: TRIP Program projects are intended to affect change on the environmental landscapes of our public lands. An inherent goal of the TRIP Program is to reduce or mitigate the effects of visitors on the natural landscapes. Utilizing alternative forms of transportation travel to or within Forest Service units is an effective method of reducing or mitigating air pollution. As such, the TRIP Program could have a marked impact on local pollution through the use of new, efficient alternative forms of transportation.

Applicants should provide details regarding the anticipated reduction or mitigation of pollution. An estimation of the anticipated reduction of vehicle miles traveled is one such way applicants are encouraged to analyze the effects of the program. If possible, the FTA recommends providing an estimate of anticipated tons of pollutant emissions reduced or mitigated (ozone, CO₂, PM10, etc.). Applicants should also indicate any anticipated increase in air clarity or reduction in noise from autos.

Alternative transportation can also reduce or mitigate the need for impervious surfaces such as parking lots and roads. By reducing existing or future additional impervious surfaces, Forest Service units can effectively decrease water pollution from run-off. The elimination of these types of facilities is viewed as a reduction of "visual pollution" along the natural landscape.

Naturally, alternative transportation enables visitors to access or view the Forest Service unit by using fewer independent vehicles. Providing access to the facility through alternative transportation means, increases fuel efficiency through less overall vehicle use and use of alternative fuel-cell or hybrid vehicles.

Applicants should describe benefits the proposed project would have in any of these areas of reducing or mitigating pollution.

4. Operational Efficiency and Financial Sustainability

a. Operational Efficiency: The proposal must justify how the proposed project is the most effective solution for meeting identified management goals and objectives of the Forest Service unit. To make this justification, the application can compare the proposed project with other alternative projects considered. The selection committee will be verifying that the applicants dutifully examined all reasonable alternatives.

b. **Feasibility of Proposed Budget:** Fill in the budget template below or attach a project budget that at a minimum contains the items in the budget template and extends at least 5 years. Include a narrative to elaborate on the financial plan.

b. Feasibility of Proposed Budget: Projects must have a realistic financial plan. A financial pro forma, or budget, must include all revenues, capital expenditures, and operating costs for a five year span. The cost estimates should be based on previous experiences, similar projects, or other credible sources. Internal corroboration with other Forest Service units or public land agencies is a common method of detailing the expected financial expenses of the project. While the application provides a sample budget template, applicants may submit the financial pro forma in another form, so long as the alternate form contains all of the requisite information. The FTA also requires applicants to include a budget narrative depicting how the proposed project will affect the finances of the Forest Service unit as a whole and describes the maintenance plan.

	FY 2009	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012
Revenue				
Transit in Parks Program funding (requested)				
Funds from public land budget				
Other federal funds				
State funding				
Local funding				
Passenger Fares and/or transportation fees				
All other dedicated sources of funding				
<i>Total Revenue</i>				
Capital Costs				
Purchase of rolling stock (vehicles)				
Lease of rolling stock (vehicles)				
Construction (e.g., bus shelters, sidewalks, trails, etc.)				
Rehabilitation				
Other: _____				
<i>Total Capital Costs</i>				
Operating Costs				
Salaries				
Routine Maintenance				
Insurance				
Fuel				
Contracted services				
Other: _____				
<i>Total Operating Costs</i>				

Proposed budget narrative: In this narrative, include details such as size and number of vehicles, fuel type, terms of lease, description of facilities to be constructed, types of ITS, etc. The narrative should also describe the maintenance plan, include information on how the project will impact total operating and maintenance costs and schedule at the site, as well as information on the project's impact on the unit's ability to maintain other assets. Finally, for vehicle replacement projects, please list the age, mileage, and vehicle type of each vehicle that you are requesting funding to replace.

c. Cost Effectiveness: Fill in all information for items 1-4 below in order to calculate the cost per person using the alternative transportation system. FTA will calculate annualized cost per passenger trip and annual fare box recovery – common transit cost effectiveness measures – based on the information that you provide. **You must provide all information in order to fulfill these required criteria.**

1. Annual cost for vehicle operations and maintenance (including salaries, fuel, maintenance, administrative expenses related to system, and all other operating costs): \$
 2. Average annual number of riders: /year
 3. Transportation fee or fares recovered (average): \$ /year
 4. Useful life of transportation assets: years
- Annual cost per passenger trip: *This will be automatically calculated by FTA.*
- Annual fare box recovery *This will be automatically calculated by FTA.* %

c. Cost Effectiveness: Some measure of cost-effectiveness is needed to ensure good use of funds. Applicants should provide the data requested in the proposal template to enable a calculation of the cost per person using the alternative transportation system. Applicants should also compare the costs of the proposed project with other alternatives.

The FTA emphasizes that projects with a higher cost per user might still be more worthwhile than projects with lower costs per users, depending on secondary factors such as resource conservation or preservation of existing features. Applicants are reminded that cost-effectiveness is one factor among several used to compare the merits of competing projects.

d. Partnering, funding from other sources: Describe any partnerships the project has with federal, state, tribal and local government agencies, gateway communities and the private sector. Please cite agreements or documentation (*including letters of dedicated financial support or confirmation of financial or in-kind contribution*) that show a high level of coordination and partnering activities. If applicable, describe any economic, mobility, or other benefits to the gateway community.

d. Partnering, funding from other sources: Forest Service units are encouraged by the FTA to form partnerships with other federal agencies, state/local/tribal governments, and the private sector. Strong partnerships can improve the success of a project by involving other stakeholders. Partnerships can also aid the finances of a project.

Providing economic, mobility, or other benefits to communities near the Forest Service unit is encouraged. Local communities near Forest Service units may benefit economically from alternative transportation services that cause increased tourism, sales revenues, hotel revenues, air quality, and ease of travel between the community and the Forest Service unit.

The details and nature of the partnerships should be fully disclosed to the FTA. If partner agency funding is contingent on any exogenous factors, the Forest Service unit should fully disclose the nature of the agreement. The FTA encourages applicants to discuss any time-sensitive financial limitations that might exist because of partnerships.